

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/914,853	Applicant(s) Mullins et al.	
	Examiner Rafael Perez-Gutierrez	Art Unit 2686	

All Participants:

(1) Rafael Perez-Gutierrez.

(2) Michael J. Donohue.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Donohue to suggest an amendment to claim 1 to clarify that the broadcast channel is transmitted from a satellite in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Mr. Donohue agreed with the suggestion and the Examiner made it formally thorough and Examiner's amendment. Additionally, minor informalities in figures 1 and 3 were also pointed out in the Examiner's amendment.